

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/766,725	01/22/2001	Egbert Jux	CL/V-30578A	1309
1095	7590 09/07/2004		EXAMINER	
NOVARTIS CORPORATI	E INTELLECTUAL PRO	ANGEBRANNDT, MARTIN J		
ONE HEALTH PLAZA 430/2			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
EAST HANOVER, NJ 07936-1080			1756	

DATE MAILED: 09/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	T A 1: 4: NI	r	<del></del>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/766,725	JUX ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Martin J Angebranndt	1756	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 16 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply h places the applica	y to a
PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing dat	e of the final rejection.		
b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (2)	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The edate on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appropriate the final of the final or th	on. See MPEP  opriate extension opriate extension Office action: or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	s Brief must be filed within the pe R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	riod set forth in f the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be		ине дррови	
(a)   they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below)	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b		, oo 110 12 below),	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application is issues for appeal; and/or	**	rially reducing or sin	nplifying the
(d)  they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	s.
NOTE:	•	, .,	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed a	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se	reconsideration has been consideration Sheet.	dered but does NOT	Γ place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)∏ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belov	⊠ will be entered a w or appended.	nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .		•	
Claim(s) objected to: none.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-7,22,23,25 and 1015</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by th	e Examiner	
9.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer			
0. Other:			
		after	
		Martin J Angebranno Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1756	dt

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: contrary to the position argued by the applicant, the makring by discoloartion or simple recessing is disclosed in Kiyosaki et al. JP 08-047784 [0005] and the instant specification does not preclude the polymer as the pigment, particularly when noting the use of IR to mark the polymer as set forth in the instant claims. This infers that the polymer itself is an IR pigment. The examiner also notes the direction in Kim et al. '878 to coloring the polymers with visible spectrum absrobing pigments. The examiner notes that Dui is treated, but the rejection also includes Kiyosaki et al., therefore the applicant's treatment of the rejection is piece meal at best. The rejections stand...

a/?/a/